From Gatekeepers to Game-Changers: The Transformational Leadership of Women in Fraud Risk Governance

Dr. Connie Y. Bell
Senior Vice President, Security Risk, Global Incident Response & Fraud Analytics
Affiliation: Professor and Chair, University of Phoenix

As organizations confront mounting challenges to integrity, trust, and ethical performance, fraud risk governance has emerged as a vital component of contemporary enterprise leadership. Traditionally framed through the lenses of compliance and enforcement, fraud risk management is now undergoing a strategic evolution, one significantly influenced by women in executive roles within security and ethics. This article examines how women leaders are catalyzing a shift in fraud governance from reactive defense to proactive ethical stewardship. Drawing upon lived experience, executive leadership frameworks, and governance theory, it explores how these leaders are reimagining post-incident reviews, employing human-centered investigative models, and embedding culturally responsive risk strategies. Through their leadership, the paradigm of fraud response is transitioning from one rooted in fear and punishment to a model grounded in restoration, learning, and systemic integrity. In this transformation, women are not merely gaining access to decision-making spaces, they are reshaping the very structures of governance.

Introduction: The Rise of Women as Ethical Risk Architects

In the twenty-first century, organizations face not only the pressures of market disruption but also a profound erosion of public trust. Contemporary fraud threats from financial misconduct and cyberattacks to internal ethics violations and retaliation fraud are increasingly multifaceted and culturally embedded (Roffia & Poffo, 2025; PwC, 2022). Amid this complexity, women in executive fraud risk leadership roles are emerging as transformative figures. Their influence extends beyond damage control, guiding organizations toward new conceptions of justice, accountability, and resilience.

Historically, the fields of fraud and cybersecurity have operated within frameworks emphasizing detection and punitive action. These models, while rigorous, often failed to account for the human costs of fraud and the ethical considerations it entails. Women leaders are now challenging and expanding these paradigms. Their governance approaches are marked by transparency, restorative practices, empathy across functions, and inclusive ethics (Adam & Fazekas, 2021; Doepke & Tertilt, 2020; Goleman, 1998). Far from diluting rigor, these attributes enhance it, anchored in emotional intelligence, cultural agility, and strategic communication, which are increasingly acknowledged as critical to effective governance in diverse, global contexts (Liao et al., 2021).

This article explores how women leaders are reshaping the future of fraud risk governance by redefining it not only as a compliance function but as a strategic and ethical enterprise. It examines key innovations such as trauma-informed interview protocols, collaborative investigative postmortems, inclusive communication practices, and equity-driven fraud typologies. Additionally, it considers how women executives are building systems of cross-border trust and reframing internal cultural transformation as a core performance metric. Drawing from interdisciplinary perspectives, the article offers insight into how these governance practices converge to advance transparency, organizational learning, and ethical resilience. Ultimately, it argues that the next chapter in fraud governance will not be driven by fear of exposure, but by the moral courage to lead with integrity, with women at the forefront of this paradigm shift.

Ethical Intelligence and the Human Side of Fraud Risk Leadership

In the shifting landscape of fraud risk governance, ethical intelligence has emerged as a defining characteristic among women in executive leadership roles. Ethical intelligence is a leadership capability that weaves moral reasoning, emotional insight, and situational awareness into governance practices. Rather than centering solely on regulatory compliance, it places human dignity at the heart of decision-making (Brown & Treviño, 2020; Ruiz-Palomino et al., 2021). For women leading fraud investigations, this means striking a deliberate balance between investigative rigor and sensitivity to cultural nuance, trauma responses, and emotional safety. This shift from punitive control to value-driven inquiry illustrates how ethical intelligence is reshaping both the methodology and the impact of fraud response strategies.

Empirical research suggests that leaders with high ethical intelligence are more likely to foster environments of trust and transparency during misconduct investigations, thereby enabling more sustainable governance outcomes (Haque et al., 2022). Women in fraud risk roles often employ inclusive communication strategies that prioritize psychological safety, particularly when dealing with sensitive issues such as harassment-related fraud, retaliation, or internal whistleblowing. These approaches not only encourage employee voice but also strengthen a sense of belonging within ethical governance frameworks (Aboud et al., 2023). Their leadership styles tend to emphasize collaborative information gathering, cross-functional coordination, and clarity in policy communication. Together, these elements reduce institutional defensiveness and improve the internal climate for reporting fraud (Mayer et al., 2012).

Emerging literature also highlights the growing influence of trauma-informed approaches in reshaping fraud investigation leadership paradigms. Trauma-informed governance prioritizes environments where psychological safety is safeguarded, and post-incident reflection becomes a tool for strengthening organizational adaptability and cultivating a culture of trust (Fallot & Harris, 2020). Women leaders are frequently at the forefront of integrating these principles into investigative protocols. Their efforts include conducting private, respectful interviews, validating emotional responses from stakeholders, and incorporating restorative closure processes into post-incident documentation. These practices uphold ethical fairness while maintaining investigative integrity, effectively bridging the gap between procedural justice and individual dignity (McGregor & Giles, 2021).

This increasing emphasis on the human side of fraud leadership signals a broader shift in corporate risk culture. Ethical leadership, once viewed as an ancillary or “soft” skill, is now recognized as a strategic asset tied to long-term operational resilience and reputational stability (Hayat Bhatti et al., 2020; Deloitte, 2023). Women executives who lead with ethical intelligence do more than ensure compliance, they are transforming risk cultures into systems grounded in empathy, equity, and accountability. As the field of fraud governance continues to evolve, their leadership provides a replicable blueprint for integrating transparency with tactical depth, marking a significant turning point in how organizations address integrity challenges.

Strategic Oversight: Women Executives in Global Fraud Governance

As global enterprises face increasing regulatory complexity and transnational fraud threats, women executives are taking on leadership roles that demand not only technical expertise but also strategic foresight. Managing fraud governance on a multinational scale requires a sophisticated understanding of jurisdictional ethics, data sovereignty regulations, and the nuances of international cultural dynamics. Women leaders are meeting this challenge by designing scalable governance models that blend standardized compliance requirements with locally responsive procedures, demonstrating both strategic agility and cultural intelligence across diverse operating environments (Tarba et al., 2023; Deloitte, 2023).

Effective strategic oversight also requires seamless coordination across enterprise functions, including compliance, legal, privacy, finance, and internal audit. Women in senior fraud leadership roles often distinguish themselves through collaborative governance models that break down organizational silos and unify departments under shared ethical principles. These integrated frameworks not only streamline fraud reporting but also enhance board effectiveness and governance cohesion (Post & Byron, 2015; Valentine et al., 2011). By promoting transparent information flow between executive leadership and frontline teams, women leaders ensure that fraud intelligence is escalated swiftly and interpreted within a long-term trust-building framework. This approach reduces blind spots, increases responsiveness, and elevates fraud risk governance from a reactive process to a forward-looking strategic function.

Furthermore, women executives are playing a pivotal role in aligning fraud oversight with broader Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) goals. As stakeholders demand greater transparency and ethical accountability, these leaders are embedding anti-fraud initiatives within corporate sustainability frameworks. Their strategies include ethics-based vendor screening, the use of diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI)-informed fraud typologies, and the development of multilingual fraud reporting systems that meet the needs of diverse employee populations (Grove et al., 2024; Johari et al., 2022). This integrated approach not only enhances fraud mitigation efforts but also bolsters organizational legitimacy in competitive global markets.

Postmortems, Metrics, and Cultural Transformation

A defining characteristic of women-led fraud governance is the emphasis on reflective postmortem practices that serve not only as compliance tools but as catalysts for organizational learning and cultural transformation. Traditional incident reviews often prioritize closure and procedural accuracy. In contrast, women executives are reimagining post-incident analysis as a developmental process, one that surfaces systemic blind spots and fosters ethical maturity across the organization (Rezazade et al., 2022). These reviews go beyond technical fixes; they explore structural vulnerabilities, knowledge gaps, and cultural dynamics that may perpetuate misconduct (Chen & Naranjo, 2021; Delgado & McGee, 2022).

While quantitative metrics remain foundational, women leaders often complement them with qualitative indicators that capture ethical growth. Examples include improvements in team communication, increases in self-reporting, and reductions in retaliation or grievance claims (Kaptein, 2015). Postmortem assessments under women-led models frequently incorporate evaluations of interview fairness, the effectiveness of cross-departmental collaboration, and the fidelity of trauma-informed investigative protocols. These dimensions offer a fuller picture of organizational integrity, grounded in the lived experiences of employees (Rezazade et al., 2021).

Equally important is how these findings are communicated to executive stakeholders. Rather than relying on sanitized dashboards focused solely on incident counts or resolution timelines, women executives increasingly embed narrative analysis into governance reporting. These narrative insights provide essential context highlighting behavioral patterns, ethical tensions, and cultural dilemmas that shape misconduct (Chen & Naranjo, 2021). This approach not only enhances transparency but also demonstrates how lessons from failures are actively translated into preventative strategies. In doing so, it strengthens the ethical credibility of governance teams and fosters greater accountability at the executive level.

Fraud Typology Meets Inclusion: Intersectional Risk Governance

Fraud is not experienced uniformly across an organization. Women leaders in fraud governance are increasingly attuned to the intersectional nature of risk recognizing that factors such as race, gender identity, disability status, and socioeconomic position can significantly shape both exposure to misconduct and access to redress. Intersectional risk governance integrates equity-informed frameworks into fraud response, ensuring that structural vulnerabilities like retaliation, discrimination, and exploitation are addressed with contextual sensitivity (Cho, Crenshaw, & McCall, 2013; Kaptein, 2015; Treviño & Brown, 2019).

This inclusive approach extends into the design of fraud awareness and reporting mechanisms. Women-led governance initiatives frequently incorporate multilingual access, culturally responsive education, and scenario-based training to ensure all employees, not just those in dominant language or cultural groups can engage confidently with anti-fraud systems (Johari et al., 2022). To enhance accessibility and cultural relevance, organizations have collaborated with employee advocacy and neurodiversity groups to design anonymous reporting systems tailored to diverse needs (ACFE, 2023; PwC, 2022). These innovations affirm that a respectful, inclusive environment is foundational to ethical risk management.

Women executives are also advancing the field by expanding the very definitions of fraud. Traditional typologies, which often focus narrowly on financial misappropriation or procurement violations, are being reworked to include categories such as psychological harm, values exploitation, and digital identity manipulation. These forms of misconduct, though less quantifiable, disproportionately affect marginalized or underrepresented groups (Kaptein, 2015; Treviño & Brown, 2019). By incorporating these dimensions, women-led models enhance the accuracy of detection and create space for recognizing the broader spectrum of harm in today's complex, digital workplaces.

Case Vignettes: Women Leading Fraud Governance

The transformative leadership practices outlined in prior sections are best illustrated through real-world case vignettes that show how women executives are reshaping fraud risk governance. Where available, examples are drawn from public reports by organizations such as the Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (ACFE), Deloitte, and PwC. Where anonymized or hypothetical examples are used, they are explicitly noted.

Multilingual and Inclusive Whistleblower Access

A real-world example from ACFE's Fraud Examiner highlights how multinational companies like Siemens and Volkswagen implemented multilingual, culturally responsive whistleblower platforms to address underreporting among linguistically diverse staff. These platforms incorporated translated interfaces, regional training, and trust-building protocols to ensure equitable access to fraud reporting tools (ACFE, 2023). This aligns with PwC's Global Economic Crime and Fraud Survey (2022), which highlights that "cultivating an environment of trust…and proactively monitoring suspicious activity can be more effective in identifying fraud" supporting the need for confidentiality and accessible reporting in culturally diverse environments (PwC, 2022).

Board Diversity and Fraud Deterrence

A study by Yami and Poletti-Hughes (2022) found that companies with independent female board members particularly those serving on audit committees exhibited significantly lower levels of financial fraud. These directors provided a counterbalance to powerful CEOs and introduced higher standards of scrutiny and accountability. This empirical evidence demonstrates that gender diversity at the governance level is more than symbolic, it is a measurable safeguard against misconduct.

These vignettes underscore a consistent pattern: women executives are not simply responding to fraud, they are rebuilding the systems that previously enabled it. By embedding trauma-informed practices, inclusive communication systems, and governance diversity, they elevate the standard of ethical risk management across sectors.

Conclusion and Call to Action

As demands for organizational integrity grow amid increasing globalization and digital vulnerability, women executives are fundamentally redefining leadership in fraud risk governance. Their influence extends well beyond the confines of operational compliance or technical enforcement. Instead, they are reshaping the strategic, ethical, and cultural dimensions of enterprise risk. Through the integration of trauma-informed practices, inclusive fraud typologies, and reflective postmortem systems, women are transforming fraud governance from a reactive shield into a proactive engine for institutional trust and resilience (Haque et al., 2022; Bakotić & Bulog, 2021).

This article has shown that women in fraud risk roles are not simply enforcers of policy, they are architects of ethical infrastructure. Drawing on strategic foresight, ethical intelligence, and culturally responsive leadership, they are designing governance systems that align detection with dignity and prevention with purpose. Their models move beyond fear-based deterrents, instead prioritizing transparency, psychological safety, and organizational learning approaches that strengthen both ethical resilience and workplace equity.

However, the path toward inclusive, ethics-centered fraud leadership is far from complete. Future research should investigate the long-term impacts of gender-diverse leadership on fraud mitigation, the evolution of trauma-informed practices across sectors, and the scalability of these models in digitally transforming organizations. At the same time, leadership pipelines, governance frameworks, and board structures must adapt, ensuring not only the inclusion of women, but also the full integration of their vision, ethics, and innovative approaches.

As opposed to the exception, female leaders of their company's fraud control are proving to be standard bearers for the future of ethical and strategic risk management.

Keywords:

Fraud Risk Governance, Ethical Intelligence, Women in Leadership, Trauma-Informed Practices, Intersectional Risk Management, Organizational Integrity, Culturally Responsive Governance, Inclusive Fraud Typologies.

Acknowledgement

The author acknowledges using Open AI's ChatGPT (GPT-4) language model to assist in research synthesis and editorial refinement. While the author maintained full control over content development and scholarly positioning, the AI tool enhanced clarity, coherence, and academic rigor.

Biography:

Dr. Connie Bell is a transformative executive, and scholar-practitioner that has spent over 28 years at AT&T, serving in various management positions in sales operations, compliance, and data protection. She currently serves as the Senior Vice President at Teleperformance, where she leads the global strategy at the intersection of governance, analytics, and ethical risk management across Security Risk, Global Incident Response, and Fraud Detection Analytics.

Aside from her corporate leadership, Dr. Bell is a university doctoral faculty and chair of dissertations at the University of Phoenix, guiding students in the development of research to link theory to practice. Her research interests are in ethical leadership in high-risk settings, equity in organizational systems and responding strategically to fraud and digital threats. An advocate for inclusivity, Dr. Bell is passionate about mentorship of women and men from underrepresented groups and environment that fosters an environment that is supportive, uplifting, and empowering diverse voices.

Inspired by the ideology of "Lift as you climb," Dr. Bell links her work in corporate, academic, and community leadership to creating systemic change and clearing a path for the next generation of leaders.

References

1. Aboud, K., Xiongying, N., & Qasim, M. (2023). Impact of ethical leadership on employees' psychological safety and voice behavior: With mediating role of belongingness. International Journal of Science and Business, 20(1), 42–57. https://doi.org/10.58970/IJSB.2055
2. ACFE. (2024). Occupational fraud: A report to the nations. Association of Certified Fraud Examiners. https://www.

acfe.com

3. Adam, I., & Fazekas, M. (2021). Are emerging technologies helping win the fight against corruption? A review of the state of evidence. Information Economics and Policy, 58, 100950. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infoecopol.2021.100950
4. Bakotic, D., & Bulog, I. (2021). Organizational justice and leadership behavior orientation as predictors of employees' job satisfaction: Evidence from Croatia. Sustainability, 13(19), 10569. https://doi.org/10.3390/su131910569
5. Brown, M. E., & Treviño, L. K. (2006). Ethical leadership: A review and future directions. Leadership Quarterly, 31(6), 101375. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2006.10.004
6. Chen, G., & Naranjo, A. (2021). Governance transparency and board-level risk communication. Journal of Corporate Finance, 66, 101886. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2020.101886
7. Cho, S., Crenshaw, K. W., & McCall, L. (2013). Toward a field of intersectionality studies: Theory, applications, and praxis. Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society, 38(4), 785–810. https://doi.org/10.1086/669608
8. Crenshaw, K., Gotanda, N., Peller, G., & Thomas, K. (2021). Critical race theory: The key writings that formed the movement. The New Press.
9. Deloitte. (2022). Whistleblowing and speak-up culture: Unlocking the power of employee voice. https://www2.deloitte.com
10. Deloitte. (2023). The future of fraud risk management: Shaping proactive, ethical governance. https://www2.deloitte.com
11. Doepke, M., & Tertilt, M. (2009). Women's liberation: What's in it for men? Quarterly Journal of Economics, 124(4), 1541–1591. https://doi.org/10.1162/qjec.2009.124.4.1541
12. Fallot, R. D., & Harris, M. (2020). Creating cultures of trauma-informed care (CCTIC): A self-assessment and planning protocol. Community Connections.
13. Grove, H., Clouse, M., & Xu, T. (2024). Risk governance for environmental, social, and governance investing and activities. Risk Governance & Control: Financial Markets & Institutions, 14(4), 50–58. https://doi.org/10.22495/rgcv14i4p5
14. Haque, A., Fernando, M., & Caputi, P. (2019). The relationship between responsible leadership and organizational commitment and the mediating effect of employee turnover intentions: An empirical study with Australian employees. Journal of Business Ethics, 156(3), 759–774. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-017-3575-6 articlegateway.com+13
15. Hayat Bhatti, M., Akram, U., Hasnat Bhatti, M., Rasool, H., & Su, X. (2020). Unraveling the effects of ethical leadership on knowledge sharing: The mediating roles of subjective well-being and social media in the hotel industry. Sustainability, 12(20), 8333. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12208333
16. Johari, R. J., Rosnidah, I., Talib, N. A., & Helmi, I. M. (2022). Role of code of ethics in building a fraud resilient organization: The case of the developing economy. Governance & Regulation, 11(2), 32–40. https://doi.org/10.22495/jgrv11i2art3
17. Liao, Y. K., Wu, W. Y., Dao, T. C., & Ngoc, L. T. M. (2021). The influence of emotional intelligence and cultural adaptability on cross-cultural adjustment and performance with the mediating effect of cross-cultural competence: A study of expatriates in Taiwan. Sustainability, 13(6), 3374. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13063374
18. Kaptein, M. (2015). The effectiveness of ethics programs: The role of scope, composition, and sequence. Journal of Business Ethics, 132(2), 415–431. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-014-2296-3
19. Mayer, D. M., Aquino, K., Greenbaum, R. L., & Kuenzi, M. (2012). Who displays ethical leadership, and why does it matter? An examination of antecedents and consequences of ethical leadership. Academy of Management Journal, 55(1), 151–171. https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2008.0276
20. Post, C., & Byron, K. (2015). Women on boards and firm financial performance: A meta-analysis. Academy of Management Journal, 58(5), 1546–1571. https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2013.0319
21. PwC. (2022). Global economic crime and fraud survey 2022. PricewaterhouseCoopers. https://www.pwc.com/fraudsurvey
22. Rezazade Mehrizi, M. H., Nicolini, D., & Rodon, J. (2022). How do organizations learn from IS incidents? A synthesis of the past, present, and future. MIS Quarterly, 46(2), 843–873. https://doi.org/10.25300/MISQ/2022/14305
23. Roffia, P., & Poffo, M. (2025). Revisiting the fraud triangle in corporate frauds: Towards a polygon of elements. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 18(3), Article 156. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm18030156
24. Ruiz Palomino, P., Linuesa Langreo, J., & Elche Hortelano, D. (2021). Team-level servant leadership and team performance: The mediating roles of organizational citizenship behavior and internal social capital. Business Ethics: A European Review, 32(S2), 127–144. https://doi.org/10.1111/beer.12390
25. Tarba, S. Y., Frynas, J. G., Liu, Y., Wood, G., Sarala, R. M., & Fainshmidt, S. (2023). Strategic agility in international business. Journal of World Business, 58(2), Article 101411. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2022.101411 sciencedirect.com+14
26. Trevino, L. K., & Brown, M. E. (2019). A grounded typology of organizational wrongdoing: From financial fraud to cultural degeneration. Journal of Business Ethics, 158(1), 25–44. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-017-3562-3
27. Treviño, L. K., den Nieuwenboer, N. A., & Kish-Gephart, J. J. (2014). (Un)ethical behavior in organizations: A review. Annual Review of Psychology, 65, 635–660. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-113011-143745
28. Valentine, S., Godkin, L., Fleischman, G. M., & Kidwell, R. E. (2011). Corporate ethical values, group creativity, job satisfaction and turnover intention: The impact of work context on work response. Journal of Business Ethics, 98(3), 353–372. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-010-0554-6
29. Yami, N., & Poletti Hughes, J. (2022). Financial fraud, independent female directors and CEO power. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 15(12), 575. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm15120575